ABSTRACT: Dynamic behavior of a multiple supported secondary system mounted on a torsionally coupled primary system is presented for bi-directional random ground excitation, which is idealized as a broad band stationary random process. Response behavior of the multiple supported secondary system is investigated by considering and ignoring the interaction between the primary and the secondary systems. The response quantities of interest are the standard deviation of the absolute acceleration at a specified node and the bending moment at a specified support of the multiple supported secondary system. For the no interaction case, input to the support of the secondary system is prescribed in the form of both pseudo and cross power spectral density function (PSDF), characterizing the correlation between various supports of the multiple supported secondary system. For the interaction case, the conventional ground PSDF can directly be used as input to the combined structural system. The responses are obtained by the frequency domain spectral analysis. The responses are obtained under a number of important parametric variations. Numerical results of the study show that the responses decrease with the increase in the mass ratio between the secondary and the primary system. Under the tuned condition, a definite maxima is observed for the higher mass ratio. For strong and weak torsionally coupled primary systems under the tuned and interaction conditions the normalized acceleration show a definite minima when orientation of the secondary system is 45° with the major axes of the primary system. For the other cases, the response quantities show a definite maxima at this orientation.
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1. Introduction

In many civil and industrial structures, secondary systems (such as piping, fixtures, equipments etc.) are supported on more than one point over the heavy primary system (P-system). Several studies have been made for the evaluation of both deterministic and stochastic responses of composite primary-secondary system (PS-system) [1-5]. The composite characteristics of such systems don’t match with usual structures, and traditional methods used for the analysis of classically damped structural systems, are not applicable [6, 7]. Analysis of such structural systems without considering interaction between the primary and the secondary structural systems, is easy and economical [8]. However, this analysis gives incorrect responses of the secondary system (S-system) when the S-system is not very light as compared to the supporting P-system, and when the frequency of vibration of the S-system matches with one of the dominant frequencies of the supporting structural system. In such situations, responses of the S-system, calculated by considering the interaction between the PS-system give more realistic responses of the S-system [9,10,11].

Two methods are generally employed for the analysis of cascaded sub-systems (without considering interaction between the two sub-systems): the cross-floor response spectra method [12, 13], and mean square response method for zero mean Gaussian input [14]. In the first method, the floor response spectra of the primary structural system at the multiple support points of the secondary one, are used as input to the S-system. In the second method, the
response is evaluated for individually classically damped sub-systems, and the response of primary structural system is used as input excitation to the secondary one.

Burdisso and Singh [15] studied response spectrum method for the analysis of the multiple supported secondary structural system using cascaded approach. The earthquake excitations to the P-system were considered in the form of ground response spectra, and the floor spectra of the P-system were taken as input to the S-system. The floor response spectra were defined in the form of auto and cross pseudo-acceleration response spectra. The analysis of multiple supported secondary structural system using the component-mode synthesis approach was presented by Muscolino G [16]. The main purpose of his study was to write the dynamic equations of motion of the composite systems correctly, and to reduce the modal dynamic equations of motion. Falsone et al [17] also analyzed the combined system using the same approach. Both of them assumed that the S-system is cascaded with the primary structural system.

The studies mentioned before, investigate the effect of different parameters on the responses of the S-system, for the torsionally uncoupled P-system to uni-directional ground excitation. These studies are strictly valid for symmetric buildings or buildings with very small eccentricity or buildings torsionally very stiff. Some investigations on torsionally coupled PS-system are done by Yang and Huang [18, 19]. They found that the torsional coupling of the P-system has significant effect on the response behavior of the S-system under random ground excitation. Recently, Agrawal and Datta [21] studied the behavior of the S-system (a single degree of freedom system), mounted over torsionally coupled linear P-system. They [22] also studied the behavior of the S-system mounted over torsionally coupled non-linear P-system. In both studies, interaction between the PS-system is considered.

In the present paper, the response behavior of the multiple supported S-system, mounted over the deck of a 3-D torsionally coupled linear P-system, is studied for a bi-directional random ground excitation. Responses are calculated using the frequency domain spectral analysis and by considering and ignoring the interaction between the primary and the secondary systems. The correlated inputs to the multiple points of the S-system, are defined in terms of the power spectral density function (PSDF) and cross PSDF [23]. Objectives of the investigation are:

i. To study the response behavior of the multiple supported S-system under different important parametric variations;

ii. To investigate the effect of interaction between the PS-system on the behavior of the S-system.

2. System Model

The system model of primary and multiple supported secondary structural system is shown in Figure (1). The P-system is idealized as a 3-D torsionally coupled system. The axial stiffness of both horizontal (beam) and vertical (column) elements of the S-system are assumed to be very high. The normalized eccentricities of the P-system are varied to provide various degrees of torsional coupling in the P-system.

Let $K_{pi} (i=1,4)$ be the lateral stiffness of the...
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The total stiffness of the S-system in the direction of orientation of S-system is given by \( K_s \), which is the total sum of the lateral stiffness of all the columns of the S-system.

Let \( r_i \) denote the distance of the \( i^{th} \) resisting element from the center of mass (CM) of the P-system, then the total torsional stiffness of the P-system, defined about the CM, is given by

\[
(1)
\]

in which it is assumed that the torsional stiffness of the individual column element is negligible. The eccentricities \( e_{px} \) and \( e_{py} \) of the P-system (the distance between the center of resistance (CR) and the CM), in the two orthogonal directions is given by

\[
(3)
\]

\[
(4)
\]

in which \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are the \( X \) and \( Y \) coordinates of the \( i^{th} \) column with respect to the CM of the P-system. Eccentricities of the S-system (\( e_{sx} \) and \( e_{sy} \)) are taken to be variables for the parametric study. The two uncoupled frequency parameters of the P-system are given by

\[
(5)
\]

and

\[
(6)
\]

and natural frequency of the S-system is given by

\[
(7)
\]

in which \( m_p \) and \( m_s \) are the masses of the primary and the secondary structural systems respectively, and \( R \) is the radius of gyration of the primary mass (distance between center of resistance (CR) and (CM). The frequencies \( \omega_p \) and \( \omega_s \) may be interpreted as the natural frequencies of the P-system, if they were torsionally uncoupled, i.e. a system with \( e_{px} = e_{py} = 0 \), but \( m_p, K_p \) and \( K_s \) are the same as those in the coupled system. The mass ratio \( \rho \) is defined as

The values of \( K_p \) and \( m_p \) are varied to provide different values of the frequency parameters (\( \omega_p \) and \( \omega_s \)) in the analysis. All these parameters are taken to be the same in both \( X \) and \( Y \) directions.

3. Equations of Motion for the Combined System

The equation of motion for the combined PS-system may be written as

\[
(8)
\]

where the displacement vector \( \{U\} \) is given by \( \{U\} = \{U_x, U_y, U_{ex}, U_{ey}, U_{x_p}, U_{y_p}, U_{epx}, U_{epy}, U_{tx}, U_{ty}\}^T \) and the ground acceleration vector is given by \( \{U_g\} = \{U_{gx}, U_{gy}\}^T \).

The matrix \( [K] \) is calculated by condensing out the rotational DOFs of the S-system from the global stiffness matrix \( [\hat{K}] \). The matrix \( [\hat{K}] \) is given as

\[
(9)
\]

in which \( \{\hat{K}_{11}\} \) is given as

\[
(10)
\]

the matrix \( [\hat{K}_{22}] \) is the submatrix corresponding to the d.o.f of the S-system and the matrix \( [\hat{K}_{12}] \) (\( = [\hat{K}_{21}]^T \)) is the coupling matrix between the primary and the secondary systems. The condensed stiffness matrix \( [K] \) is given by

\[
(11)
\]

in which \( n = NDF - NSN; NDF \) is number of d.o.f.; and \( NSN \) is number of nodes (supports) in the multiple supported S-system.

The influencing coefficient \( \{I\} \), mass \( \{M\} \) and damping \( \{C\} \) matrices are given by

\[
\{M\}_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{12}
\]

\[
\{C\}_x = \begin{bmatrix} \{M_{11}\}_{3x3} & 0 \\ 0 & \{M_{22}\}_{(n-3)(n-3)} \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}
\]

\[
[M_{11}] = \text{diag} \{m_p, m_p, m_p R^2\} \tag{15}
\]

\[
[M_{22}] = \text{diag} \{m_p, m_p, m_p, \cdots \} \tag{16}
\]

The elements of the matrices \( [C_{11}] \) and \( [C_{22}] \) are calculated by assuming that the damping matrix of the P-system (for \( [C_{11}] \)) and the S-system (for \( [C_{22}] \)), are proportional to their mass (\( [M_{11}] \) and \( [M_{22}] \)) and stiffness (\( [K_{11}] \) and \( [K_{22}] \)) matrices. Using the modal damping ratio and first two undamped mode shapes of the primary and the secondary structural systems, the elements of the damping matrices \( \{C\} \) (\( [C_{11}] \) and \( [C_{22}] \)) are obtained by standard procedure [24].

4. Equation of Motion for Cascaded System

The equation for the P-system (only) to random ground excitation is given by

\[
[M_p]\{\dot{U}_p\} + [C_p] \{\ddot{U}_p\} + [K_p] \{U_p\} = -[M_p] \{I_p\} \{\ddot{W}_p\} = f_p(t) \tag{17}
\]

the vector \( \{U_p\} \) is given as \( \{U_p\} = \{U_{px}, U_{py}, U_{pz}\} \), and the matrices \( \{I_p\}, \{M_p\}, \{C_p\} \) are given as

\[
[I_p] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{18}
\]

\[
[M_p] = \text{diag} \{m_p, m_p, m_p R^2\} \tag{19}
\]

\[
[C_p] = \begin{bmatrix} \sum C_{px} & 0 & \sum C_{py} & \sum C_{pz} & \sum C_{px} & \sum C_{py} & \sum C_{pz} & \sum C_{pz} & \sum C_{pz} & \sum C_{pz} \end{bmatrix} \tag{20}
\]

where \( \sum C_{px}, \sum C_{py}, \sum C_{pz}, \sum C_{pz} \) are the elements of the damping matrix for the P-system and \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). These elements are calculated in the same manner as described for the interaction case.

The equation of motion for the multiple supported S-system, for no-interaction case is given as

\[
[M_s]\{\dot{U}_s\} + [C_s] \{\ddot{U}_s\} + [K_s] \{U_s\} = -[M_s] \{I_s\} \{\ddot{W}_s\} = f_s(t) \tag{22}
\]

where the matrices \( [M_s], [C_s] \) and \( [K_s] \) are the mass, damping and stiffness matrices for the S-system, and are represented by \( [M_s] = [M_{22}] \) (Eq. (13); \( [C_s] = [C_{22}] \) (Eq. (14)); and \( [K_s] = [K_{22}] \) (Eq. (11)). The displacement vector \( \{U_s\} \) is given by \( \{U_s\} = \{U_{sx1}, U_{sy1}, U_{sx2}, U_{sy2}, \ldots, U_{sys}\}^T \). The resultant of the input floor acceleration vector \( \{\ddot{W}_p\} \) at various supports of the S-system is given by

\[
\{\ddot{W}_p\}_\text{NSN} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{W}_{p1} & \ddot{W}_{p2} & \ddot{W}_{p3} & \ldots \end{bmatrix}^T \tag{23}
\]

The equation of motion for a multiple supported structural system, which is subjected to random ground excitation is given as [25]

\[
[M_s][\{\dot{U}_s\}] + [C_s][\{\ddot{U}_s\}] + [K_s][\{U_s\}] = -[M_s][\{I_s\}][\{\ddot{W}_s\}] = f_s(t) \tag{24}
\]

in which the motion vectors have been partitioned to separate the response quantities from the input, and the property matrices have been partitioned to correspond. The coupling matrices that express force in the response degrees of freedom due to motion of the support are denoted here with the subscript \( g \). From Eq. (24), the correlation matrix \( \{r_s\} \) can be expressed as

\[
\{r_s\} = -[K_s]^{-1}[K_g] \tag{25}
\]

Solving Eq. (24) and transferring all terms associated with the input to the right side of the equation, the following equation is obtained

\[
[M_s][\{\dot{U}_s\}] + [C_s][\{\ddot{U}_s\}] + [K_s][\{U_s\}] = -\bigg( [M_s][\{r_s\}] + [M_g][\{I_s\}][\{\dot{U}_g\}] - \big([C_s][\{r_s\}] + [C_g]\big)[\{U_p\}] \bigg) \tag{26}
\]

From Eq. (26), it will be noted that there is no stiffness term in the right side of the expression; it drops out because of the definition of the quasi-static displacement.
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matrix given by Eq. (25). Also, this relationship will eliminate any effective input associated with a stiffness proportional component of the viscous damping. Consequently, Eq. (26) may be written in the approximate form as

\[
[M_s] \{U_s\} + [C_s] \{\dot{U}_s\} + [K_s] \{U_s\} = - \left( [M_s] \{r_s\} + [M_g] \{\dot{U}_p\} \right)
\]

(27)

The above equation (Eq. (27)), can effectively be used for calculation of responses of the multiple supported S-system.

5. Response Analysis

5.1. Combined PS-System

The frequency response function matrix \( H(\omega) \) for the combined system is given by

\[
H(\omega) = (-\omega^2[M] + i\omega[C] + [K])^{-1}
\]

(28)

If \( S_{Uj} \) is the power spectral density function (PSDF) of the input excitation, which is modelled as a stationary random process, then the PSDF matrix of the displacement is given by

\[
S_{Uj}(\omega) = H(\omega) S_f(\omega) H(\omega)^T
\]

(29)

where \( S_f(\omega) \) is the PSDF matrix of \( f(t) \) and it is given by

\[
[S_f(\omega)]_{n \times n} = \begin{bmatrix}
[S_{11}]_{2 \times 2} & [S_{12}]_{2 \times (n-3)} \\
[S_{21}]_{(n-3) \times 2} & [S_{22}]_{(n-3) \times (n-3)}
\end{bmatrix} S_{Uj}(\omega)
\]

(30)

the various elements of the \([S_f(\omega)]\) matrix is given as

\[
[S_{11}] = \text{diag} \begin{bmatrix}
m_x^2(S_{Uj,gy}^2), m_y^2(S_{Uj,gy}^2), 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(31)

\[
[S_{12}] = \begin{bmatrix}
m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, 0, \ldots
0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, 0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, m_x m_y(S_{Uj,gy}), 0, 0, \ldots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(32)

\[
[S_{21}] = [S_{12}]^T
\]

(33)

\[
[S_{22}] = \text{diag} \begin{bmatrix}
m_x^2(S_{Uj,gy}), m_y^2(S_{Uj,gy}), \ldots, m_z^2(S_{Uj,gy})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(34)

The relative displacement \( U_{rk} \) and absolute acceleration \( \ddot{U}_{rk} \) (for \( k^{th} \) support of the S-system whose coordinates are \((x_k, y_k)\), obtained as \((j = X, Y)\)

\[
U_{rk} = U_{sk} - U_{ps} - U_{0k}v_k
\]

(35)

\[
\dot{U}_{rk} = \dot{U}_{sk} - \dot{U}_{ps} - \dot{U}_{0k}v_k
\]

(36)

and

\[
\ddot{U}_{rk} = \ddot{U}_{sk} + \dddot{U}_{gl}
\]

(37)

The PSDFs of the relative displacements and absolute accelerations (\( S_{Urk}, S_{Urkg} \) and \( S_{Ujk}, j = X, Y \)) are given by

\[
S_{Urk} = S_{Urk} + y_k^2 S_{U0} + y_k S_{Ups} U_{ps} + y_k S_{Ug} U_{gk}
\]

(38)

\[
S_{Urkg} = S_{Urkg} - S_{Urkg} U_{ps} - y_k S_{Urkg} U_{ps} - y_k S_{Urkg} U_{gk}
\]

(39)

\[
S_{Ujk} = S_{Ujk} + x_k^2 S_{U0} + x_k S_{Ups} U_{ps} + x_k S_{Ug} U_{gk}
\]

and

\[
S_{Ujk} = S_{Ujk} - S_{Ujk} U_{ps} - x_k S_{Ujk} U_{ps} - x_k S_{Ujk} U_{gk}
\]

(40)

The elements of the right hand side (RHS) of the Eqs. (38) and (39) can directly be obtained from the PSDF matrix of the displacement of the combined structural system. The elements of the RHS of Eq. (40) are derived as

\[
S_{Ujk} = \omega^4 S_{Ujk}
\]

(41)

\[
S_{Ujk} = H(6,1) S_{Ugk}
\]

(42)

\[
S_{Ujk} = H(7,2) S_{Ugy}
\]

(43)

\[
S_{Ujk} = (6,1) S_{Ugy}
\]

(44)

\[
S_{Ugk} + S_{Ugy} + S_{Ugk} U_{sk} + S_{Ugk} U_{gk}
\]

(45)

where \((6,1)\) and \((7,2)\) are the elements of the \(H(\omega)\) matrix for the first support of the multiple supported S-system. The elements of Eq. (40) for the other supports of the S-system are calculated in a similar manner. The variances of the response quantities (bending moment \( \sigma_{U0}^2 \)) at first support of the S-system and absolute acceleration \( \sigma_{U0}^2 \) of the first node of the S-system are obtained as

\[
\sigma_{U0}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{U0} (\omega) d\omega
\]

(46)

\[
\sigma_{U0}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{U0} (\omega) d\omega
\]

(47)

where \( S_{U0} (\omega) \) is calculated with help of power spectral density function of relative displacement and rotation at the first node and the cross power spectral density.
function between them.

### 5.2. Cascaded System

The frequency response function matrix \( H_p(\omega) \) for the P-system, for the cascaded system is given by

\[
H_p(\omega) = (-\omega^2 [M_{11}] + i\omega[C_{11}]+[K_{11}])^{-1}
\]

where the matrices \([M_{11}],[C_{11}]\) and \([K_{11}]\) are given by Eqs. (15, 14 and 11, respectively). The PSDF of the displacement between the P-system and a specified support of the S-system is given by

\[
S_{UI}^{(\omega)} = H_q(\omega) S_{PS}^{(\omega)} H_q(\omega)^T
\]

and the PSDF of the absolute acceleration \( S_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\omega)} \) (for the specified support of the S-system) is obtained as

\[
S_{\alpha\alpha}^{(\omega)} = S_{\alpha\alpha}^{+} + S_{\alpha\alpha}^{-} + S_{\alpha\alpha}^{\prime} + S_{\alpha\alpha}^{\prime}\]

where \( (S_{\alpha\alpha}^{\prime}) \) is the PSDF of the resultant of the floor motion in the two orthogonal directions. The elements of the RHS of Eq. (58) are obtained by the procedure described previously. The variance of response quantities of interest for the cascaded system are obtained as

\[
\sigma_{UI_{\text{rms}}}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{UI_{\text{rms}}}^{(\omega)}(\omega) d\omega
\]

\[
\sigma_{\alpha\alpha_{\text{rms}}}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{\alpha\alpha_{\text{rms}}}^{(\omega)}(\omega) d\omega
\]

where \( S_{UI_{\text{rms}}}^{(\omega)} \) is calculated in the same manner as described for the interaction case.

### 6. Parametric Study

A large number of parameters influence the responses “RMS” value of the normalized absolute acceleration \((\sigma_\alpha/g = \sigma_{U_{\alpha}}/g\) or \(\sigma_{\alpha_{\alpha}}/g\)) at a specified node, and the RMS value of bending moment \((\sigma_M = \sigma_{U_{\mu}}\) or \(\sigma_{U_{\mu_{\mu}}}\)) at a specified support of the multi-supported S-system. The important parameters, which predominantly influence the behavior of the PS-system, are considered in the present study. These parameters include the normalized eccentricities of the P-system \((e_{px}/R, e_{py}/R)\) in the two orthogonal directions \((X, Y)\); the uncoupled lateral frequencies of the P-system \((\omega_p)\) and the S-system \(\omega_S\); the damping ratios of the S-system \(\xi_p\) and the S-system \(\xi_s\); the ratio of uncoupled lateral to rotational frequencies \((\omega_p/\omega_{\text{rot}})\) of the P-system; and the mass ratio \(m_p/m_\text{ps}\) of the PS-system. Values of the other parameters (held constant throughout) are \(\psi_p = 3.0 \text{ rad/sec}, \xi_p = 5.0\%, \xi_s = 2.0\%\) and \(R = 3.0\) meters. Intensity of the white noise input excitation is the same in both \(X\) and \(Y\) directions, and is taken as 0.013m²/sec/rad. The time history of ground acceleration is simulated from the PSDF of white noise for a record length of 200 seconds. The coordinates of the supports, see Figure (1), of the S-system are \((-0.5, -2.2), (0.5, -1.8)\) and \((1.5, -1.4)\). The plan area of the primary structural model is 6.0m x 6.0m. The absolute acceleration at the top node of the first support \((-0.5, -2.2)\), and the RMS value of the bending moment at its base are taken as response quantities of interest for the analysis.
6.1. Effect of $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$

Figures (2) to (5) show the variations of responses with normalized eccentricities ($e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$) of the P-system. Both interaction and no-interaction cases are studied for strong ($\omega_p/\omega_b = 1.0$) and weak ($\omega_p/\omega_b = 0.5$) torsionally coupled P-system under tuned ($\omega_p/\omega_b = 1.0$) and untuned ($\omega_p/\omega_b = 1.5$) conditions. For the weak torsionally coupled P-system, the variations are the same for both interaction and no-interaction cases. Further, the responses are almost insensitive to the variation of $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$. The acceleration response is more, while the bending moment response is less for the no-interaction case. The response behavior is the same for both tuned and untuned conditions.

For strong torsionally coupled P-system under both tuned and untuned conditions, the responses increase with the increase in $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$ if interaction is considered between the PS-system. However, the responses decrease with the increase in $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$ under the tuned condition and remain insensitive to the variation of $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$ under the untuned condition, if interaction is not considered between the PS-system.

6.2. Effect of Primary-Secondary Interaction

Figures (2) to (5) and Figures (8) to (13) show the effect of primary-secondary interaction on the responses. For strong and weak torsionally coupled P-system under the tuned condition interaction between the PS-system (PS-interaction) provides higher ($\sigma_{M}$) for all angle of orientations. However, an opposite pattern of variation in ($\sigma_{M}$) is observed under the untuned condition. For both strong and weak torsionally coupled P-system under the untuned condition ($\sigma_{M}$) is found to be less if interaction is considered between the PS-system. Such behavior is also observed for weak torsionally coupled P-system under the tuned condition.

6.3. Effect of the Mass Ratio

Figures (6) and (7) show the effect of the mass ratio on the responses. For weak torsionally coupled P-system under
tuned condition, the variation of the response with $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$ shows a definite maximum for higher mass ratios ($m_p/m_s = 0.01$ and 0.1). For the untuned condition, however, no such peaks in the response are observed, and the response increases non-linearly with the increase in $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$.

For the strong torsionally coupled P-system, the variation of the $g_{ax}/\sigma$ is insensitive to the variation in $e_{px}/R$ and $e_{py}/R$, for both tuned and untuned conditions. Further, it may be noted that the response is more for smaller values of the $m_s/m_p$ ratio.

6.4. Effect of $\xi$

The variations of $(\sigma_{ax}/g)$ and $(\sigma_{ax}/\sigma)$ with $\xi_s$ are shown in the Figures (8) and (9) under the tuned condition. For the strong torsionally coupled P-system the responses decrease with the increase in $\xi_s$, when interaction is considered between the PS-system. For the weak torsionally coupled P-system, the responses are insensitive to the change in the $\xi_s$, if interaction is not considered between the PS-system. However, for no-interaction case the responses decrease with the increase in $\xi_s$, for the strong torsionally coupled P-system.

6.5. Effect of Angle of Orientation of the S-system with X-X Axis

The variations of responses with the angle of orientation $(\theta)$ of the S-system with the X-X axis are shown in Figures (10) to (13), for strong and weak torsionally coupled P-system under both tuned and untuned conditions, and for both interaction and no-interaction cases. Under the tuned condition, variation of $(\sigma_{ax}/g)$ shows a definite minimum when interaction is not considered between the PS-system, see Figures (10) to (12), for both strong and weak torsionally coupled P-system. For all other cases, the variations show definite maxima. The values of the maximum and minimum responses occur around an angle of 45°, as it would be expected.

7. Conclusions

Seismic behavior of multisupport secondary structural system mounted over a torsionally coupled linear primary structural system has been investigated by considering and ignoring the interaction between the primary and the secondary systems. The primary structural system is subjected to bi-directional ground excitation which is
modelled as a white noise. The response quantities of interest are the standard deviation of bending moment at a specified support and the normalized acceleration at a specified node of the multiple supported S-system. The responses are obtained by frequency domain spectral analysis. The findings of the parametric studies lead to the following conclusions:

- Responses of the S-system decrease with the increase in \( \frac{m_s}{m_p} / R \) ratio. For strong torsionally coupled P-system, the variation of responses with \( e_{px} / R \) and \( e_{py} / R \) show a definite maximum for the higher \( m_s / m_p \) ratio under the tuned condition.

- The responses of the S-system generally decrease with the increase in \( \xi_x \).

- For strong and weak torsionally coupled linear P-system under the tuned condition, the normalized acceleration of the S-system is found to be minimum if orientation of the S-system is 45\(^\circ\) with the X-X axis when interaction between the primary and the secondary systems is considered. For all other cases, the response quantities are found to be maximum for the above orientation of the S-system.

References


