

INTRODUCING AN INNOVATIVE CONNECTION WITH TUBULAR FLANGE SECTION

Pavam ASHTARI

Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran ashtari@znu.ac.ir

Ramin NASERI

Ph.D. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, Iran raminnaseri@znu.ac.ir

Keywords: Tubular Flange Section, Cyclic loading, Steel moment resistant frame, Hysteretic, Finite element analysis

After the Northridge earthquake, numerous steel MRF buildings had considerable damage between the beam flange and the column face because of brittle behavior of welded joints. In order to solve this problem, many different techniques have been proposed and investigated in earlier studies. These studies are based on reduction of ductility demand and strain concentration at the welded regions. To reach this goal, two solutions have proposed by researchers:

1) Strengthening the connection by using stiffeners and stronger welds.

2) Weakening the cross-sectional area of the beam in the vicinity of the connection.

Consequently, some new strategies, such as strengthening the beam at the column face by adding a haunch (Yu et al., 2000), using side plates (Chou et al., 2009; Shiravand et al., 2010), utilizing external T-stiffeners (Kang et al., 2001; Ghobadi al., 2009), weakening the beam section by trimming the beam flanges (Chen, 1996), weakening the beam section by drilling the beam flanges (Tahamouliroudsari, 2018) and perforating the beam web (Momenzadeh, 2017) were proposed and evaluated under cyclic loadings. One of the primary and useful manners to decline the stress concentration at this zone is using reduced beam section (RBS).

In this paper, an innovative RBS connection named Tubular Flange Section (TFS) connection is introduced and assessed numerically using ABAQUS finite element software. TFS connection is composed by replacing a part of beam flange with a tube at the expected location of the beam plastic hinge. The mechanical properties of steel material, section properties of members and geometry of model is illustrated in Table 1 and 2 and Figure 1, respectively.

Table 1. Mechanical properties of materials.								
Material type	Elasticity modulus (GPa)	Yield stress (MPa)	Ultimate stress (MPa)	Elongation (%)				
ST37	210	240	370	20				

					Table	2. See 11	p_{I}	pernes or n			<i>II)</i> .		
Beam		Column			Taka		Continuity alots		S4*66				
IPE 240			IPB 300			Tube		Contint	iity plate	e Stiffener			
b_{f}	$t_{\rm f}$	$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}}$	t _w	b_{f}	$t_{\rm f}$	$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{w}}$	t _w	D	t	В	W	В	W
120	9.8	240	6.2	300	19	300	11	114.3	8	262	114.5	127.5	60
h _w : Depth of section				D: Diameter of tube			В	: Length o	f plate				

Table 2	Castion	meanantia	ofmanhara	(ita	:	

t. Thickness of tube

W: Width of plate

b_f: Width of flange t_f: Thickness of flange

tw: Thickness of web





Figure 1. Geometry of TFS model (units in mm).

As shown in the figure, in a limited zone near the column face, a specified part of the beam flanges and web is replaced by a steel tube. To assess cyclic behavior of model, the cyclic load, based on loading protocol provided in FEMA-350, was applied at the tip of the beam.

The results indicate that the TFS connection exhibits stable hysteresis behavior. Besides, due to the presence of the tube, TFS can effectively concentrate the plastic strains within the reduced region and result in substantial reduction in the developed strain demands near the critical CJP welds. Furthermore, strength degradation does not occur up to 4% story drift ratio. Therefore, the TFS connection can successfully satisfy AISC seismic provisions (2016) and FEMA (2000) acceptance criteria.

REFERENCES

Chen, S., Yeh, C.H., and Chu, J.M. (1996). Ductile steel beam-to-column connections for seismic resistance. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, *122*(11), 1292-1299, doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9445(1996)122:11(1292).

Chou, C., Tsai, K., Wang, Y., and Jao, C. (2009). Seismic rehabilitation performance of steel side plate moment connections. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 23-44, doi:10.1002/eqe.931.

Ghobadi, M., Ghassemieh, M., Mazroi, A., and Abolmaali, A. (2009). Seismic performance of ductile welded connections using T-stiffener. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, *65*(4), 766-775, doi:10.1016/j.jcsr.2008.05.007.

Kang, C., Shin, K., Oh, Y., and Moon, T. (2001). Hysteresis behavior of CFT column to H-beam connections with external T-stiffeners and penetrated elements. *Engineering Structures*, 23(9), 1194-1201, doi:10.1016/s0141-0296(00)00119-x.

Momenzadeh, S., Kazemi, M.T., and Asl, M.H. (2017). Seismic performance of reduced web section moment connections. *International Journal of Steel Structures*, *17*(2), 413-425, doi:10.1007/s13296-017-6004-x.

Shiravand, M. and Deylami, A. (2010). Application of full depth side plate to moment connection of I-beam to double-I column. *Advances in Structural Engineering*, *13*(6), 1047-1062, doi:10.1260/1369-4332.13.6.1047.

Tahamouliroudsari, M., Jamshidi, H.K., Torkaman, M., and Ganji, M.S. (2018). Experimental and numerical investigations of rigid IPE beam connections with drilled flange and web stiffener. *Structures*, *16*, 303-316, doi:10.1016/j.istruc.2018.10.008.

Yu, Q., Uang, C., and Gross, J. (2000). seismic rehabilitation design of steel moment connection with welded haunch. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, *126*(1), 69-78, doi:10.1061/(asce)0733-9445(2000)126:1(69).

