

THE SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY STRUCTURE OF THE CENTRAL ALBORZ REGION USING COMBINATED METHOD OF AMBIENT NOISE CROSS-CORRELATIONS

Mehrdad ANSARIPOUR

Ph.D. Student, Institute of Geophysics, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran ansaripor@ut.ac.ir Mehdi REZAPOUR Associate Professor, Institute of Geophysics, Tehran, Iran rezapour@ut.ac.ir

Erdinc SAYGIN Associate Professor, Deep Earth Imaging, Future Science Platform, CSIRO, Perth, WA, Australia erdinc.saygin@uwa.edu.au

Keywords: Velocity structure, Seismic noise, Cross-correlation, Alborz, Phase Weighted Stacking

In traditional studies of the velocity structures of the earth, a seismic source has to be used such as earthquakes or explosions. In new studies, using ambient seismic noise makes it possible to study the deep structures. In the classical ambient noise method is required to using the simultaneous records at the pair's station. To remove this condition and increase the number of Green's functions between pairs of stations, we use the new method. The area locates at longitude 48° to 55.5° E and latitude 35° to 37° N which covers the central Alborz that includes the Damavand volcano and the great city of Tehran. To improve the earth response functions derived from the classical method, was used the Phase Weighted Stacking. The velocity map of the Rayleigh wave was plotted at 10, 20, 30 and 40 seconds. In order to better understand the region, four profiles were drawn in perpendicular and parallel to the directions with the main structures of Alborz. In this study, the depth of the Moho is estimated to be approximately 38 km. The possibility of existence of root due to topographic changes and the approximate stability depth of Moho for this mountain range is not available. From the profiles it is understood that the central and eastern parts of the central Alborz have similar structures but differ from the western part. There are only a few studies using the ambient noise for the central Alborz area. Shomali and Shirzad (2015) studied central Alborz using ambient seismic noise and earthquake data only down to depth of 5 km. Mottaghi et al. (2013) estimated the depth of Moho below at parts of central Iran about 45 km and not well defined at parts. The cross correlation of ambient noise method (classical method) has been used for the regions in different scales and depths to calculate Green's functions between pair of stations in the last few decades (Shapiro & Campillo, 2004; Bensen et al., 2007; Saygin & Kennett, 2012; Saygin et al., 2016). This method is based on seismic interferometry theory and fluctuation-dissipation theorem (e.g. Campillo and Paul, 2003; Wapenaar et al., 2011).

In fact, whenever the energy flux can excite all environmental modes with the same energy and of course different phases, the cross correlation between the wave field received at two stations can give Green's function between the two stations. In this method, despite of advantages, there are limitations. Firstly, it is necessary to record the pair of stations simultaneously to be calculated earth response between them. In the next, it depends entirely on the homogeneous distribution of ambient seismic noise sources (seas and oceans). In other words, the azimuths with low energy flux are estimated the poorly Green's function (Stehly at al., 2008). In this study, we use the seismic sources. Various studies have been done using this method throughout the world. For the first time, we study specially the velocity structure of the central Alborz using ambient seismic noise. To increase the number of rays that used in tomography, is used the correlation of coda correlation (C^3) method that described in Spica et al. (2016). In this method, the stations are used as virtual sources to construct the Green's function between station pairs. Before using this method, the PWS method was also used to increase the SNR of the C^1 functions that were not well extracted (Figure 1).



Figure 1. The retrieved Green's function between TAHR and QABG with linear and phase weighted stacking methods.

REFERENCES

Bensen, G., Ritzwoller, M., Barmin, M., Levshin, A., Lin, F., Moschetti, M., Shapiro, N., and Yang, Y. (2007). Processing seismic ambient noise data to obtain reliable broad-band surface wave dispersion measurements, *Geophysical Journal International*, *169*(3), 1239-1260.

Campillo, M. and Paul, A. (2003). Long-range correlations in the diffuse seismic coda. Science, 299(5606), 547-549.

Mottaghi, A.A., Rezapour, M., and Korn, M. (2013). Ambient noise surface wave tomography of the Iranian plateau. *Geophysical Journal International*, 193(1), 452-462.

Saygin, E. and Kennett, B. (2012). Crustal structure of Australia from ambient seismic noise tomography, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 117(B1).

Saygin, E., Cummins, P., Cipta, A., Hawkins, R., Pandhu, R., Murjaya, J., Irsyam, M., Widiyantoro, S., and Kennett, B. (2016). Imaging architecture of the Jakarta basin, Indonesia with transdimensional inversion of seismic noise, *Geophysical Journal International*, 204(2), 918-931.

Shapiro, N.M. and Campillo, M. (2004). Emergence of broadband rayleigh waves from correlations of the ambient seismic noise. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(7).

Shomali, Z.H. and Shirzad, T. (2015). Crustal structure of Damavand volcano, Iran, from ambient noise and earthquake tomography. *Journal of Seismology*, 19(1), 191-200.

Wapenaar, K., Van Der Neut, J., Ruigrok, E., Draganov, D., Hunziker, J., Slob, E., and Snieder, R. (2011). Seismic interferometry by cross correlation and by multidimensional deconvolution: A systematic comparison. *Geophysical Journal International*, 185(3), 1335-1364.

