

EFFECT OF NEAR AND FAR FIELD RECORDS ON SEISMIC FRAGILITY OF INDUSTRIAL CHIMNEYS

Sina SHEKARI

M.Sc. Graduate, Department of Civil Engineering, Islamic Azad University, Tehran South Branch, Tehran, Iran sin.sh1991@gmail.com Afshin KALANTARI Associate Professor, IIEES, Tehran, Iran a.kalantari@iiees.ac.ir

Keywords: Seismic fragility, Fragility curves, Reinforcement concrete chimney, Far-field ground Motions, Near-field ground motions

As a critical component of industrial plants the acceptable seismic performance of chimneys during strong earthquakes is important. The structure has been studied by the previous researchers to investigate the nonlinear behavior during earthquakes, probabilistic seismic vulnerability and collapse mechanism (Wilson et al., 2003; Changdong Zhou et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2004). Openings in the structure have shown to increase the seismic vulnerability. Collapsing the 115 m high chimney in Tupras refinery during the 1999 Kocaeli earthquake, several researches was performed to investigate the seismic performance of this type of structures in earthquakes (Huang et al., 2004; Sezen and Whittaker, 2004).

In this study, calculating the seismic fragility values, the effects of openings as well as the seismic source distance from the site will be studied on the nonlinear behavior and vulnerability of a RC chimney model. A finite element model was developed based on the specifications of the chimney in Tupras refinery provided by Huang et al. (2004). The external diameters of the structure are 10.3 m and 6.6 m in the lowest and highest level, respectively. The dimensions of the section of at the lowest and highest level of the structure as well as an elevation view are presented in Figure 1. 14 near-field and 14 far-field ground motion records were applied in the study to perform Incremental Dynamic Analysis (IDA). Four performance criteria were considered in order to estimate the fragility values as introduced in Table 2.



Figure 1. The section views at the lowest and highest level of the structure and the elevation view.

Table 1. Section reinforcement.						
Height (m)	Internal Vertical	External Vertical	Internal Lateral	External Lateral		
	Reinforcement	Reinforcement	reinforcement	Reinforcement		
115	75Φ10	98Ф10				
90	84Ф18	110Φ15	Φ10@25 cm	Φ12@25 cm		
50	118Ф24	136Ф26	Φ10@25 cm	Φ12@25 cm		
10	142Ф26	196Ф26	Φ12@25 cm	Φ14@25 cm		
0	210Ф26	273Φ26	Φ14@25 cm	Φ16@25 cm		

In the current work the threshold strain values of rebar and concrete material are considered as the damage indices to characterize the aforementioned damage states. The steel and concrete strain employed for the quantification of each defined LS is based on previous studies (Lu et al., 2005).

Limit state (LS)	Steel strain	Concrete strain	Top displacement threshold values (m)
LS1	0.001675	0.0020	0.08
LS2	0.015	0.0035	0.18
LS3	0.030	0.0050	0.35
LS3	0.060	0.0075	0.62

Table 2. Performance criteria considered for the evaluation of fragility values.

Defined as Equation 1, the fragility function is estimated as a distribution log-normal function.

$$P[EDP \ge LS_i | IM] = \Phi\left[\frac{\ln(IM) - \lambda}{\xi}\right]$$
(1)

where Φ represents standard cumulative normal distribution function and λ and ζ are the mean and standard deviation of natural logarithm of the ground motion intensity (ln(IM)), respectively. The generated seismic fragility curves for the studied RC chimney are shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that larger fragility values have resulted when considering near field ground motions. This may be attributed to the long period component in the records.



Figure 2. Seismic fragility curve for: a) far-field ground motion records, b) Near field ground records.

REFERENCES

ABAQUS, Analysis User's Manual (2016). Version RX2016 ABAQUS Inc. Dassault Systemes. U.S.A.

Changdong Zhou, Xulang Zeng, Qinglong Pan, Bin Liu. (2014). Seismic fragility assessment of a tall reinforced concrete chimney. *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*, Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/tal).

FEMA P695 (2009). Quantification of Building Seismic Performance Factors. U.S.A.

Huang, W., Gould, P.L., Martinez, R., and Johnson, G.S. (2004). Nonlinear analysis of a collapsed reinforced concrete chimney, *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, *33*, 485-498.

Huang, P.L. Gould (2007). 3-D pushover analysis of a collapsed reinforced concrete chimney. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, 43, 879-887.

Karim, K.R. and Yamazaki, F. (2001). Effect of earthquake ground motions on fragility curves of highway bridge piers based on numerical simulation. *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, *30*(12), 1839-1856.

Lu, Y., Gu, X., et al. (2005). Probabilistic drift limits and performance evaluation of reinforced concrete columns. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, *131*(6), 966-978.

Sezen, H. and Whittaker, A.S. (1999). Performance of Industrial Facilities during the Kocaeli, Turkey Earthquake, 13th World Conference.

Shinozuka, M., Feng, M.Q., Kim, H., Uzawa, T., and Ueda, T. (2003). *Statistical Analysis of Fragility Curves*. Report NCEER-03-0002, Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, Buffalo, NY.

Wilson, J.L. (2003). Earthquake response of tall reinforced concrete chimneys. Engineering Structures, 25(1), 11-24.

Wilson, J.L. (2002). Aseismic design of tall reinforced concrete chimneys. ACI Struct. J., 99(5), 622-630.

